

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C., 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/587,629	06/05/2000	Rono James Mathieson	SLA.0155	7733
7	590 11/07/2002			
Robert D Varitz, P.C.			EXAMINER	
2007 S.E. GRA Portland, OR			LUU, SY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2174		
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

		9				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/587,629	MATHIESON, RONO JAMES				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sy D Luu	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> .	<u>June 2000</u> .					
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
_a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	· (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites "said peripheral option display" on lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/587,629

Art Unit: 2174

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art ("Admitted Prior Art", Specification, page 2).

As per independent claim 1, Admitted Prior Art teaches a user interface for controlling peripheral devices comprising: a peripheral option display for presenting peripheral options to a user immediately following selection of the peripheral device (page 2, lines 12-16).

Claim 3 is similar in scope to claim 1, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Netscape Communicator 4.61 ("Netscape").

As per independent claim 1, Netscape teaches a user interface for controlling peripheral devices comprising: a peripheral option display for presenting peripheral options (figure 2) to a user immediately following selection of the peripheral device (figure 1).

Claim 3 is similar in scope to claim 1, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Netscape Communicator 4.61 ("Netscape").

As per claim 2, Netscape does not disclose the use of a bypass function for deselecting said peripheral option display. However, the use of a bypass function with a check/turn off box

Application/Control Number: 09/587,629

Art Unit: 2174

to suppress the display of an associated but optional dialog is well known in the art, and thus it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include such a feature with Netscape in order to save users from having to go through another dialog screen unnecessarily when no further changes to the default are required.

Claims 4 and 5 are similar in scope to claim 2, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Neuhard et al. (US 6,335,795 B1)

Chiarabini et al. (US 5,963,216)

Lee et al. (US 6,362,892 B1)

Belfiore et al. (US 5,886,699)

Potts, Jr. (US 6,067,560)

Gottfreid (US 6,076,076)

## Inquires

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sy Luu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0409. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm (EST). The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0604.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

Art Unit: 2174

(703) 746-7238 [After Final Communication]

(703) 746-7239 [Official Communication]

(703) 746-7240 [For status inquiries, Draft Communication]

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Sy D. Luu

Patent Examiner November 1, 2002